Z-28 (2015)THE FIELD DATA UPON WHICH THIS PLAT IS BASED HAS A FIELD CLOSURE OF ONE FOOT IN 137,472 FEET AND AN ANGULAR ERROR OF DI'PER ANGLE POINT AND WAS ADJUSTED USING COMPASS RULE. APPROXIMATE LIMITS OF FLOOD PLAIN LAND LOT LAND LOT THE HURIZONTAL AND VERTICAL DATUM IS BASED ON AND RELATIVE 202 Now or Formerly ARY MARGARET MANNING TO COSS COUNTY SMISO144-1 AND SMISO309-1 THE AREAS AND DISTANCES SHOWN ON THIS PLAT ARE BASED ON - SPONTER'S GROUND DISTANCES. A COMBINATION SCALE FACTOR OF 1.D. \$81"47"01"E S81'44'16"E 137,44"(TIE 105.45 THIS PART HAS BEEN CALCULATED FOR CLOSURE AND IS FOUND TO BE ACCUPATE WITHIN ONE FOOT IN 143,638 FEET.

TYPE OF EQUIPMENT USED TOPCON GPT 2003 & DATA COLLECTOR.

DATE OF FIELD WORK: JANUARY 21, 2014 LAND LOTI 257 25 R/W JAN **2 9** 2015 HELD WORK: JANUARY 21, 2014 ASTRUCTION CONTINUING OF THIS DATE H 20, LOT 8 COBB CO. COMM. DEV. AGENTIGIAL FLOOD HAZARD AREA ZONE X AND ZONE AE. ZONING DIVISION AS PER OFFICIAL F.I.R.M. FOR COMMUNITY NO. 13097CD0860, DATED: MARCH 4, 2013, THIS PROPERTY IS LOCATED IN SPECIAL FLOOD HAZARD AREA ZONE X. LOT 7 SHET WATER STATES & BOLLOSON SER OM TO THE LEGARANT OF ROAD 3000 37 E MAXEAN 3 REMNANT AREA: 7.418 ACRES 2012 LINE TABLE BEARING \$48'38'37"E 12 S487877E 12
12 S487877E 12
12 S487877W 12
13 N4873877W 12
14 N417127E 12
15 S5847547W 27
16 H227754W 53
17 M274727W 53
18 S887470T 86
19 S012437W 60
110 N00708747E 60 LOT 3 86.26 60,00 60' ACCESS EASEMENT 0.349 ACRES S87"54'48"E 254.24 LOT 1 252.9¢ ð N87°54'48"W CHAIN LINK FENCE AN CONCRETE PARKING ENCROACHES BUR DING SILVER CREEK DOUGLAS COUNTY PROPERTIES ZONED C-H TRUCK STORAGE AREA 4.020 ACRES NORTH S87'54'48"E 15.00 COVERED WITH GRAVEL) روي. د Now or Formerly DEEDAR BORICHA DOUGLAS COUNTY ZONED C-G CONCRETE SWEETWATER CONSTRUCTION SHAFT 304.30 S88'02'03"E WOOD FENCE RELATIVE 174.98' N8753'17" W Now or formerly eNNOVEA, Inc. 337.13 REBAR(BASE) Now or Formurly SILVER CREEK PROPERTIES Now or Formerly eNNOVEA, Inc. ZONED R-20 50' SUB-SURFACE KEITH HEIGHTS UNIT 2 SUBDIVISION PLAT BOOK 23, PAGE 112 ZONED R-20 DOUGLAS COUNTY ZONED C-H DOUGLAS COUNTY ZONED C-H TUNNEL EASEMENT GRAPHIC SCALE I. TOTAL AREA: 11,436 ACRES 1. TOTAL AREA: 11.436 AGRES
2: CURRENT ZONING: R-20
3: TRUCK STORAGE AREA: 4.020 AGRES
PROPOSED ZONING: LIGHT INDUSTRIAL
4: REMAINING AREA: 7.416 AGRES
REMAIN ZONEO: R-20
5: EXSITING SITE CONDITION: (DE PEET) EXSIGNME SHE CONDITIONS
CONSTRUCTION STABING AREA FOR THE
SWEETWATER CONSTRUCTION SHAFT AND
SUB-SUFACE TUNNEL.
PROPOSED SITE CONDITION:
TRUCK PARKING AND STORAGE AREA ZONING PLAT FOR SILVER CREEK PROPERTIES LOCATED IN LAND LOT 258 18TH DISTRICT 2ND SECTION LEGEND Coss COUNTY GEORGIA R/W -----RIGHT OF WAY
1.P.F. ----IRON PIN FOUND
1.P.P. ----IRON PIN PLACEO
C.T. ------CRIMPED TOP PIPE PREPARED BY DOUGLAS GEORGIA COUNTY VANSANT - CAMPBELL R.B. ——REINFORGING BAR O,T. ——OPEN TOP PIPE N/F ——NOW OR FORMERLY B.L. ——BUILDING LINE DATE: JANUARY 15, 2015 CIVIL ENGINEERING - LAND SURVEYING Jos Na. = T:\2015LOTS\15-029.DWG 8667 BALOWIN PARKWAY, DOUGLASVILLE, GEORGIA 30134 PHONE: (770) 942-1234 FAX: (770) 942-2010

APPLICANT: Jose Valencia	PETITION NO:	Z-28
PHONE#: (404) 787-1778 EMAIL: miguelcrew@yahoo.com	HEARING DATE (PC):	04-07-15
REPRESENTATIVE: Kevin Pritchett	HEARING DATE (BOC):	04-21-15
PHONE#: (770) 789-4408 EMAIL: pritchett95@comcast.net	PRESENT ZONING:	R-20
TITLEHOLDER: Silver Creek Properties		
	PROPOSED ZONING:	LI
PROPERTY LOCATION: East side of Maxham Road, south of		
Old Alabama Road	PROPOSED USE:	ruck Parking
(160 Maxham Road).		
ACCESS TO PROPERTY: Maxham Road	SIZE OF TRACT:	4.020 acres
	DISTRICT:	18
PHYSICAL CHARACTERISTICS TO SITE: Vacant Lot	LAND LOT(S):	258
	PARCEL(S):	31
	TAXES: PAID <u>X</u> DU	J E
CONTIGUOUS ZONING/DEVELOPMENT	COMMISSION DISTRICT	Γ: 4
NORTH: R-20/Undeveloped		

OPPOSITION: NO. OPPOSED___PETITION NO:___SPOKESMAN ____

R-20/Developed industrial and R-20/Undeveloped

PLANNING COMMISSION RECOMMENDATION

R-20/Undeveloped R-20/Undeveloped

APPROVED____MOTION BY____

REJECTED SECONDED

SOUTH:

EAST: WEST:

HELD____CARRIED____


BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS DECISION


APPROVED____MOTION BY____

REJECTED____SECONDED____

HELD____CARRIED____

STIPULATIONS:





APPLICAN	T: Jose Valencia	ι	PETITION NO.:	Z-28
PRESENT 2	ZONING: R-20		PETITION FOR:	LI
* * * * * * *	*****	******	* * * * * * * * * * * * * *	* * * * * * * * *
ZONING C	OMMENTS:	Staff Member Responsible	Jason A. Campbell	
		1		
Land Use P	lan Recommendat	tion: High Density Reside	ential (5-12 units per acre)	<u> </u>
Proposed N	umber of Building	gs: 1 Total Square F	ootage of Development:	4,200
F.A.R.: 0	.0239 Square 1	Footage/Acre: 1,044.77	_	
Parking Spa	aces Required: 1 p	per employee Parking	Spaces Provided: No S	Striped Parking
The trucks won the lot. (approximate	vill be tractor trailer The applicant al ely 200 square feet	ht Industrial (LI) zoning categors and seven of them will be followed by the proposed a 4,000 square (a). The hours of operation will conclude to check trucks prior to their	or the applicant and there e-foot building and a te l be seven days per week	will be up to 50 trucks emporary office trailer
Applicant is	requesting the follo	owing contemporaneous varia	nces:	
1. 2. 3.	-	red 50-foot landscape buffer a ng standards to allow gravel p	•	ed properties;

<u>Cemetery Preservation</u>: No comment.

FIRE COMMENTS:

After analyzing the information presented for a Preliminary Review, the Cobb County Fire Marshal's Office is confident that all other items can be addressed during the Plan Review Stage.

APPLICANT: Jose Valencia	PETITION NO.:	Z-28
PRESENT ZONING: R-20	PETITION FOR:	LI
*********	*********	* * * * * * * * *
PLANNING COMMENTS:		
The applicant is requesting a rezoning from R-20 to located on the east side of Maxham road, south of Old		. The 4.02 acre site is
Comprehensive Plan		
The parcel is within a High Density Residential (designation. The purpose of High Density Residential rise, high density housing between five (5) and twe developments are also appropriate in this category. The of 4 stories per structure.	al (HDR) category is to provide elve (12) units per acre. Mid o	areas suitable for low or high-rise residential
Historic Preservation		
After consulting various county historic resources survitrench location maps, staff finds that no known signiapplication. No further comment. No action by application	ificant historic resources appear	<u> </u>
Design Guidelines		
Is the parcel in an area with Design Guidelines? If yes, design guidelines area Does the current site plan comply with the design requ	l Yes ■ No nirements?	
Incentive Zones		
Is the property within an Opportunity Zone? The Opportunity Zone is an incentive that provides \$3 jobs are being created. This incentive is available for not seem to be a seem of the contraction.		e areas if two or more
Is the property within an Enterprise Zone? The Enterprise Zone is an incentive that provides qualifying businesses locating or expanding within design.		
Is the property eligible for incentives through the Program?	l Yes ■ No	•

For more information on incentives, please call the Community Development Agency, Planning Division at 770.528.2018 or find information online at http://economic.cobbcountyga.gov.

ad valorem property taxes for qualifying redevelopment in eligible areas.

APPLICANT:	Jose Valencia	PETITION NO.:	Z-28
PRESENT ZON	NING: R-20	PETITION FOR:	LI
* * * * * * * * *	**** ********	*******	* * * * * * * * * *
PLANNING C	COMMENTS: (Continued)		
Special Districts			
	vithin the Cumberland Special Dis	strict #1 (hotel/motel fee)?	
	vithin the Cumberland Special Dis	strict #2 (ad valorem tax)?	
	vithin the Six Flags Special Service No	ee District?	

PRESENT ZONING <u>R-20</u> ************************************	ماد ماد ماد ماد	لد عاد عاد عاد عاد عاد عاد	ماد ماد ماد		TITION FOR <u>LI</u>
WALTER COLD TO VITA					istence at the time of this review.
Available at Development:		Yes			No
Fire Flow Test Required:		Yes		✓	No
Size / Location of Existing Water Main(s): A	ustell/D	ouglas Count	У		
Additional Comments: No utilities proposed					
Developer may be required to install/upgrade water mains, based Review Process.	on fire flow	w test results or Fir	e Departr	ment Co	ode. This will be resolved in the Plan
SEWER COMMENTS: NOTE: Commen					* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
In Drainage Basin:	✓	Yes			No
At Development:	✓	Yes			No
Approximate Distance to Nearest Sewer:	On Site				
Estimated Waste Generation (in G.P.D.):	A D F=	+0		F	Peak= +0
Treatment Plant:		Sou	ıth Cob	b	
Plant Capacity:	✓	Available		Not	Available
Line Capacity:	✓	Available		Not	Available
Proiected Plant Availability:	✓	0 - 5 vears		5 - 1	0 vears
Drv Sewers Required:		Yes	✓	No	
Off-site Easements Required:		Yes*	✓	No	*If off-site easements are required, Develop must submit easements to CCWS for
Flow Test Required:		Yes	~	No	review/approval as to form and stipulations prior to the execution of easements by the
Letter of Allocation issued:		Yes	✓	No	property owners. All easement acquisitions are the responsibility of the Developer
Septic Tank Recommended by this Departme	ent:	Yes	✓	No	
Subject to Health Department Approval:		Yes	✓	No	
Additional No utilities proposed					

PETITION NO.

Z-028

APPLICANT

Comments:

Jose Valencia

Developer will be responsible for connecting to the existing County water and sewer systems, installing and/or upgrading all outfalls and water mains, obtaining on and/or offsite easements, dedication of on and/or offsite water and sewer to Cobb County, as may be required. Rezoning does not guarantee water/sewer availability/capacity unless so stated in writing by the Cobb County Water System. Permit issuances subject to continued treatment plant compliance with EPD discharge requirements.

PRESENT ZONING: <u>R-20</u>	PETITION FOR: <u>LI</u>
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	***********
STORMWATER MANAGEMENT COMMENTS	S
FLOOD HAZARD: YES NO POSSIBLY	Y, NOT VERIFIED
DRAINAGE BASIN: Sweeetwater Creek FEMA Designated 100 year Floodplain Flood. Flood Damage Prevention Ordinance DESIGNATED Project subject to the Cobb County Flood Damage Pre Dam Breach zone from (upstream) (onsite) lake - need	FLOOD HAZARD. vention Ordinance Requirements.
WETLANDS: ☐ YES ☐ NO ☐ POSSIBLY, NO	OT VERIFIED
Location:	
The Owner/Developer is responsible for obtaining an Corps of Engineer.	ny required wetland permits from the U.S. Army
STREAMBANK BUFFER ZONE: YES NO	POSSIBLY, NOT VERIFIED
 Metropolitan River Protection Area (within 2000 undisturbed buffer each side of waterway). Chattahoochee River Corridor Tributary Area - County Georgia Erosion-Sediment Control Law and County Georgia DNR Variance may be required to work in 25 County Buffer Ordinance: 50', 75', 100' or 200' each search and county Georgia DNR Variance may be required to work in 25 	y review (<u>undisturbed</u> buffer each side). Ordinance - County Review/State Review. foot streambank buffers.
DOWNSTREAM CONDITION	
 ☐ Potential or Known drainage problems exist for develor ☐ Stormwater discharges must be controlled not to exceed drainage system. ☐ Minimize runoff into public roads. 	opments downstream from this site. ed the capacity available in the downstream storm
Minimize the effect of concentrated stormwater discharged Developer must secure any R.O.W required to reconaturally	
 Existing Lake Downstream Additional BMP's for erosion sediment controls will be Lake Study needed to document sediment levels. 	e required.
 Stormwater discharges through an established resident Project engineer must evaluate the impact of increas project on downstream receiving systems. 	

PETITION NO.: <u>Z-28</u>

APPLICANT: <u>Jose Valencia</u>

APPLICANT: <u>Jose Valencia</u>	PETITION NO.: <u>Z-28</u>
PRESENT ZONING: R-20	PETITION FOR: <u>LI</u>
**********	**********
STORMWATER MANAGEMENT COMM	IENTS – Continued
SPECIAL SITE CONDITIONS	
engineer (PE). Existing facility. Project must comply with the Water Quality of County Water Quality Ordinance. Water Quality/Quantity contributions of the exist conditions into proposed project. Calculate and provide % impervious of project sit Revisit design; reduce pavement area to reduce running.	eview. It by a qualified geotechnical engineer (PE). It rection of a qualified registered Georgia geotechnical requirements of the CWA-NPDES-NPS Permit and ting lake/pond on site must be continued as baseline e.
 INSUFFICIENT INFORMATION ☐ No Stormwater controls shown ☐ Copy of survey is not current – Additional commare exposed. ☐ No site improvements showing on exhibit. 	ents may be forthcoming when current site conditions
ADDITIONAL COMMENTS	
County Water System sanitary sewer tunnel p	d by construction activity associated with the Cobb project. One of the access shafts is located on the site. rections with approximately a third of the site draining

to the southeast and the remaining two-thirds discharging to the northwest. Two separate

stormwater management facilities will likely be required.

APPLICANT: Valencia	PETITION NO.: <u>Z-28</u>
PRESENT ZONING: <u>R-20</u>	PETITION FOR: LI
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	******
TRANSPORTATION COMMENTS	

The following comments and recommendations are based on field investigation and office review of the subject rezoning case:

ROADWAY	AVERAGE DAILY TRIPS	ROADWAY CLASSIFICATION	SPEED LIMIT	JURISDICTIONAL CONTROL	MIN. R.O.W. REQUIREMENTS
Maxham Road	27,900	Arterial	45	GDOT	100'

Based on [2009] traffic counting data taken by Cobb County DOT

COMMENTS AND OBSERVATIONS

Maxham Road is classified as an arterial, a state route, and according to the available information the existing right-of-way does meet the minimum requirements for this classification.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Recommend applicant be required to meet all Cobb County Development Standards and Ordinances related to project improvements.

Recommend the driveway be upgraded to the commercial standard.

Georgia Department of Transportation permits required for all work that encroaches upon State right-of-way.

Recommend coordination with Douglas County.

THIS

PAGE

INTENTIONALLY

LEFT

BLANK


STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS

Z-28 JOSE VALENCIA

- A. It is Staff's opinion that the applicant's rezoning proposal may not permit a use that is suitable in view of the use and development of adjacent and nearby properties. Properties to the north, east and south are zoned and developed for residential uses. The property to the west is used commercially.
- B. It is Staff's opinion that the applicant's rezoning proposal may have an adverse affect on the usability of adjacent or nearby property. Applicant's proposed use will create additional traffic in this area. While there is HI zoning southeast of the subject property, this area in Cobb County is predominantly zoned for and developed as residential.
- C. It is Staff's opinion that the applicant's rezoning proposal will result in a use which would cause an excessive or burdensome use of existing streets, transportation facilities, utilities, or schools. This opinion can be supported by the departmental comments contained in this analysis.
- D. It is Staff's opinion that the applicant's rezoning proposal is not in conformity with the policy and intent of the *Cobb County Comprehensive Plan*, which delineates this property to be within the High Density Residential (HDR) land use category. The requested LI zoning category is not compatible with HDR.
- E. It is Staff's opinion that there are existing and changing conditions affecting the use and development of the property which give supporting grounds for denying the applicant's rezoning proposal. The property has residentially zoned property on most sides and is High Density Residential on the *Cobb County Comprehensive Plan*. Staff believes the use will be too intense on the existing residential uses in the area. The proposed industrial use will create more tractor trailer traffic in the area.

Based on the above analysis, Staff recommends DENIAL.

The recommendations made by the Planning and Zoning Staff are only the opinions of the Planning and Zoning Staff and are by no means the final decision. The Cobb County Board of Commissioners makes the final decisions on all Rezoning and Land Use Permits at an advertised public hearing.



Application No. 2-29 April 2015

Summary of Intent for Rezoning

	Proposed unit square-footage(s):
)	Proposed building architecture:
:)	Proposed selling prices(s):
d)	List all requested variances:
	esidential Rezoning Information (attach additional information if needed)
a)	Proposed use(s): Truck Parking
b)	Proposed building architecture:
c)	Proposed hours/days of operation: 24 hour a day 17 days
d)	List all requested variances:
. Oth	er Pertinent Information (List or attach additional information if needed)
. Oth	er Pertinent Information (List or attach additional information if needed)
. Oth	er Pertinent Information (List or attach additional information if needed)
Is an	y of the property included on the proposed site plan owned by the Local, State, or Federal Govern
Is an	y of the property included on the proposed site plan owned by the Local, State, or Federal Govern se list all Right-of-Ways, Government owned lots, County owned parcels and/or remnants, etc., an
Is an	y of the property included on the proposed site plan owned by the Local, State, or Federal Govern se list all Right-of-Ways, Government owned lots, County owned parcels and/or remnants, etc., an
Is an	y of the property included on the proposed site plan owned by the Local, State, or Federal Govern se list all Right-of-Ways, Government owned lots, County owned parcels and/or remnants, etc., an

Analysis for Rezoning of Property at 160 Maxham Road

The impact of a zoning change for the property located at 160 Maxham Road would be minimal. The zoning change would be for 4.02 acres of land that is part of a larger parcel that is approximately 11.5 acres. It is currently zoned R-20, and has been undeveloped since it was purchased. The area that is requesting a zoning change was leased by the Cobb County Water System for a period of 84 months. The only improvements on the property were performed by Cobb County Water. It is the former site of the South Cobb Sewer Tunnel project. Cobb County owns .31 acres which is located on the site. It is located in a highly commercial area of the County. The backside of the property is adjacent to residential property with a significant buffer between the 4.02 acres requested for zoning and the residential lots. The proposed zoning would allow for truck parking. There is a need in the community for truck parking, as many drivers live in nearby apartments, and are not allowed to park at their apartments. Due to the location, buffered from Maxham road and residential areas, the site would not be visible, and would not impose a negative impact for the surrounding properties. The impact upon the utilities and services provided by the county would be minimal as well.

